

CITY OF SOMERVILLE, MASSACHUSETTS OFFICE OF STRATEGIC PLANNING & COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT JOSEPH A. CURTATONE MAYOR

PLANNING DIVISION

ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS MEMBERS

HERBERT F. FOSTER, JR., CHAIRMAN ORSOLA SUSAN FONTANO, CLERK RICHARD ROSSETTI T. F. SCOTT DARLING, III, ESQ. DANIELLE FILLIS ELAINE SEVERINO (ALT.) JOSH SAFDIE (ALT.) Case #: ZBA 2009-40 Site: 242 Summer Street

Date of Decision: September 16, 2009

Decision: <u>Petition Approved with Conditions</u>

Date Filed with City Clerk: September 22, 2009

ZBA DECISION

Applicant Name: Janet Collins

Applicant Address: 305 Wachusett Street, Jamaica Plain, MA 02130

Property Owner Name: Harris Gruman & Guiliana Minghelli

Property Owner Address: 242 Summer Street, Somerville, MA 02143

Agent Name: N/A

<u>Legal Notice:</u> Applicant Janet Collins & Owner Harris Gruman & Guiliana Minghelli

seek a special permit under §4.4.1 in order to construct a second floor

deck within the required side yard. RB zone. Ward 5.

Zoning District/Ward: RB zone/Ward 5

Zoning Approval Sought: §4.4.1

Date of Application:August 11, 2009Date(s) of Public Hearing:September 16, 2009Date of Decision:September 16, 2009

Vote: 5-0

Appeal #ZBA 2009-40 was opened before the Zoning Board of Appeals at Somerville City Hall on September 16, 2009. Notice of the Public Hearing was given to persons affected and was published and posted, all as required by M.G.L. c. 40A, sec. 11 and the Somerville Zoning Ordinance. After one hearing of deliberation, the Zoning Board of Appeals took a vote.





Date: September 18, 2009 Case #:ZBA 2009-40 Site: 242 Summer Street

DESCRIPTION:

The Applicant is undertaking a large renovation of the building and seeks zoning approval to construct a portion of a 5.5' by 20' deck above an enclosed front yard porch.

FINDINGS FOR SPECIAL PERMIT (SZO §4.4.1):

In order to grant a special permit, the SPGA must make certain findings and determinations as outlined in §5.1.4 of the SZO. This section of the report goes through §5.1.4 in detail.

- 1. <u>Information Supplied:</u> The Board finds that the information provided by the Applicant conforms to the requirements of §5.1.2 of the SZO and allows for a comprehensive analysis of the project with respect to the required Special Permits.
- 2. <u>Compliance with Standards:</u> The Applicant must comply "with such criteria or standards as may be set forth in this Ordinance which refer to the granting of the requested special permit."

The Applicant requires a special permit under §4.4.1 of the SZO. Under §4.4.1, "the SPGA, as a condition of granting a special permit under this Section must find that such extension, enlargement, renovation or alteration is not substantially more detrimental to the neighborhood than the existing nonconforming structure."

The Board finds that the Applicant's proposal would not be substantially more detrimental to the surrounding neighborhood than the existing structure, as required under §4.4.1 of the SZO. The proposed deck is more than 20' away from any surrounding structure and the Board finds that any negative impacts associated with the appearance and/or privacy of the neighbors to be negligible. The deck would be located above a reconstructed enclosed porch so no landscaping or pervious surfaces will be removed. The Board finds that the porch improves the appearance of the structure when combined with the other extensive renovations that the owner is undertaking.

3. <u>Consistency with Purposes:</u> The Applicant has to ensure that the project "is consistent with (1) the general purposes of this Ordinance as set forth in Article 1, and (2) the purposes, provisions, and specific objectives applicable to the requested special permit which may be set forth elsewhere in this Ordinance, such as, but not limited to, those purposes at the beginning of the various Articles."

The Board finds that the proposal **is consistent** with the purposes set forth in Article 1 of the Zoning Ordinance, and with, to the extent possible for a lawful pre-existing nonconforming structure, those purposes established for the Residence B (RB) zoning district in which the property is located, namely "(t)o establish and preserve medium density neighborhoods of one-, two- and three-family homes, free from other uses except those which are both compatible with and convenient to the residents of such districts."

4. <u>Site and Area Compatibility:</u> The Applicant has to ensure that the project "(i)s designed in a manner that is compatible with the characteristics of the built and unbuilt surrounding area, including land uses."

The Board finds that the proposal **is compatible** with the site and area. The deck (and by-right building renovation) would improve the appearance of the building and the neighborhood.





Date: September 18, 2009 Case #:ZBA 2009-40 Site: 242 Summer Street

DECISION:

Present and sitting were Members Herbert Foster, Orsola Susan Fontano, Richard Rossetti, Danielle Fillis and Elaine Severino. Upon making the above findings, Susan Fontano made a motion to approve the request for a special permit. Danielle Fillis seconded the motion. Wherefore the Zoning Board of Appeals voted **5-0** to **APPROVE** the request. In addition the following conditions were attached:

#	Condition		Timeframe for Compliance	Verified (initial)	Notes
	Approval is for the construction of a 5.5' by 20' deck. This approval is based upon the following application materials and the plans submitted by the Applicant and/or the Agent:		Building Permit	Plng.	
1	Date(stamp)	Submission			
	(8/11/09)	Initial application submitted to the City Clerk's Office			
	6/12/09 (8/31/09)	Site Plan (A0.1)			
	7/7/09 (8/31/09)	Plans (A1.2) Elevations (A2.1, A2.2, A2.4)			
	Any changes to the approved site plan or elevations that are not <i>de minimis</i> must receive ZBA approval.				
2	The Applicant shall contact Planning Staff at least five working days in advance of a request for a final sign-off on		СО	Plng. / ISD	
	the building permit to ensure the proposal was constructed in accordance with the plans and information submitted and				
	the conditions attached to this approval.				





Date: September 18, 2009 Case #:ZBA 2009-40 Site: 242 Summer Street

Attest, by the Zoning Board of Appeals:	Herbert Foster, <i>Chairman</i> Orsola Susan Fontano, <i>Clerk</i> Richard Rossetti Danielle Fillis Elaine Severino (Alt.)
Attest, by the Administrative Assistant:	
Daw	n M. Pereira
Copies of this decision are filed in the Somerville City Clerk's office. Copies of all plans referred to in this decision and a detailed record of SPGA proceedings are filed in the Somerville Planning Dept. CLERK'S CERTIFICATE	the
Any appeal of this decision must be filed within twenty of City Clerk, and must be filed in accordance with M.G.L. c.	•
In accordance with M.G.L. c. 40 A, sec. 11, no variance certification of the City Clerk that twenty days have elapse Clerk and no appeal has been filed, or that if such appearecorded in the Middlesex County Registry of Deeds and	d after the decision has been filed in the Office of the City

Also in accordance with M.G.L. c. 40 A, sec. 11, a special permit shall not take effect until a copy of the decision bearing the certification of the City Clerk that twenty days have elapsed after the decision has been filed in the Office of the City Clerk and either that no appeal has been filed or the appeal has been filed within such time, is recorded in the Middlesex County Registry of Deeds and indexed in the grantor index under the name of the owner of record or is recorded and noted on the owner's certificate of title. The person exercising rights under a duly appealed Special Permit does so at risk that a court will reverse the permit and that any construction performed under the permit may be ordered undone.

of record or is recorded and noted on the owner's certificate of title.

The owner or applicant shall pay the fee for recording or registering. Furthermore, a permit from the Division of Inspectional Services shall be required in order to proceed with any project favorably decided upon by this decision, and upon request, the Applicant shall present evidence to the Building Official that this decision is properly recorded.

This is a true and correct copy of the decision filed or	in the Office of the City Clerk
and twenty days have elapsed, and	
FOR VARIANCE(S) WITHIN	
there have been no appeals filed in the Office	of the City Clerk, or
any appeals that were filed have been finally	dismissed or denied.
FOR SPECIAL PERMIT(S) WITHIN	
there have been no appeals filed in the Office	of the City Clerk, or
there has been an appeal filed.	
Signed	City Clerk Date



